

# Uncertainty Ahead Means Instability Now

## Why Families, Children, Educators, Businesses, and States Need Congress to Fund Child Care

December 2022

### WASHINGTON, DC



**The problems are clear:** Families can't find or afford child care because compensation is too low to attract and retain early childhood educators. As federal relief dollars that have saved the sector from complete collapse begin to dry up, the stability those dollars brought to programs will disappear with the funding.

**The solutions are clear:** The public benefits from public investments in child care and early learning. Congress needs to build on the successes of child care funding to prioritize additional, sustainable investments that ensure programs and educators can meet the needs of families, children, and businesses, and states can continue to build towards an early childhood education system that works.

In October 2022, more than 12,000 early childhood educators from all states and settings—including faith-based programs, family child care homes, Head Starts, and child care centers—responded to a new ECE field survey from the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). The results of this survey continue to show that relief helped, but uncertainty about the future is impacting the present.

### Here are some reflections from survey respondents in Washington, DC:

*“What I am most worried about is that without the subsidy, I will face many unstable factors, which will cause my enterprise to be affected by various aspects, but the future is optimistic.”—Early childhood educator*

*“With the cost of items due to inflation, it is hard to maintain the cost of purchases, staff pay, etc. with the same subsidy rates prior to the rise of costs.”—Program Director/Administrator*

*“All of our teachers and assistant teachers have receive a significant supplemental payment. In 2024 this supplement will be included in their pay checks. We will receive free health care for all programs staff and if they live in DC all of their family members will receive health insurance for free.”—ECE professional*

## Here's a brief summary of the survey data from Washington, DC:

	DC	NATIONAL
Sample Size	168	12,897
Child Care Center	48.8%	47.7%
Family Child Care	48.8%	18.6%
<b>STABILIZATION GRANTS<sup>1</sup></b>		
Child care directors/administrators who report receiving grants	72.7%	73.9%
Family child care owner/operators who report receiving grants	96.0%	85.7%
Total reporting that their program would have closed without grants	36.7%	34.0%
Total reporting that they believe their last payment will be in 2023	80.2%	61.0%
<b>When stabilization grants end:</b>		
Child care center directors saying their programs will have to raise tuition	25.0%	42.8%
Total reporting their programs will have to cut wages or be unable to sustain wage/salary increases	43.3%	23.0%
<b>STAFFING AND SUPPLY</b>		
<b>Current Challenges</b>		
Child care center directors reporting they are serving fewer children than they would like to serve	30.3%	46.4%
Most common reason they are under-enrolled?	Compensation is too low for recruitment/retainment	Not enough staff
Total reporting that their program is currently experiencing a staffing shortage	58.9%	67.0%
Among respondents in programs with a staffing shortage:		
› Reporting they are serving fewer children	19.2%	45.4%
› Reporting a longer waitlist	32.3%	37.4%
<b>Future Challenges</b>		
Total indicating “yes” or “maybe” to considering leaving their job or closing their family child care home	45.2%	29.2%
› In the field 5 years or less indicating “yes” or “maybe” to considering leaving their job or closing their family child care home	45.3%	45.0%
› Family child care providers considering leaving	60.0%	36.4%
› In minority-owned businesses considering leaving	52.9%	43.7%
› In non-minority-owned businesses considering leaving	40.0%	25.0%
Number one thing needed to stay	Free/reduce child care costs	Competitive wages
<b>ECE WORKFORCE WELL-BEING</b>		
Total respondents experiencing financial insecurity in the last year	13.1%	29.5%
Total respondents who received more money from a wage increase or supplement in the last year	68.5%	49.4%
Total indicating that burnout/exhaustion are “greatly” or “to some extent” contributing to problems retaining teachers	75.6%	78.0%

1. For more state-level information about the receipt of stability grants in DC, please see ACF's state profiles: [https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/occ/District\\_of\\_Columbia\\_ARP\\_Child\\_Care\\_Stabilization\\_Fact\\_Sheet.pdf](https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/occ/District_of_Columbia_ARP_Child_Care_Stabilization_Fact_Sheet.pdf)

**Methodology** This online survey, created and conducted by NAEYC using SurveyMonkey, represents the responses of a non-randomized sample of 13,037 individuals working in early childhood education settings who completed the survey in English or Spanish between October 5-23, 2022. To generate a more representative national sample from the pool of responses, a probability proportional to size (PPS) methodology was used to pull samples by state that are benchmarked to the share of the total early childhood workforce by state. These shares were calculated by the authors from the Bureau of Labor Statistics May 2021 Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics (Codes: 11-9031, 25-2011, 25-2051, 39-9011). The final sample size for the national-level analysis is 12,897. In contrast, for the state-level analysis, the entire sample of responses from each state were used. We are unable to supply detailed analysis in states for which there are very small sample sizes across sub-groups. Respondents were asked to select any setting that applied to them. They could choose from the following list: child care center, family child care home, non-profit, for-profit, school-based, Head Start, faith-based, multi-site. For this brief, only the percentages for child care center and family child care are reported.

The survey links were shared widely through email newsletters, listservs, social media, and via partnerships, and 10 randomly selected respondents were provided with a \$100 gift card for participation in a sweepstakes. Given the constantly changing and widely varying nature of the crisis, the broad analysis from this survey is intended to present the experiences of the respondents, as captured in the moment that they take the survey, with extrapolations for the experiences of the field and industry at large. Additional information available at [www.naeyc.org/pandemic-surveys](http://www.naeyc.org/pandemic-surveys)