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The problems are clear: Families can’t find or afford child care because compensation is too low to attract and retain early childhood educators. As federal relief dollars that have saved the sector from complete collapse begin to dry up, the stability those dollars brought to programs will disappear with the funding.

The solutions are clear: The public benefits from public investments in child care and early learning. Congress needs to build on the successes of child care funding to prioritize additional, sustainable investments that ensure programs and educators can meet the needs of families, children, and businesses, and states can continue to build towards an early childhood education system that works.

In October 2022, more than 12,000 early childhood educators from all states and settings—including faith-based programs, family child care homes, Head Starts, and child care centers—responded to a new ECE field survey from the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). The results of this survey continue to show that relief helped, but uncertainty about the future is impacting the present.

Here are some reflections from survey respondents in Idaho:

“Our program has children to fill the spots. We have supplies and materials needed to run our program. But we do not have the staff.”—Early childhood educator

“I am very fearful that I will not be able to continue to pay my staff what they are making now with the wage enhancements. Then I will lose staff and not be able to add staff. I also feel that some of the smaller daycares will shut down and we will have more parents looking for care. I do not want to turn away families (there are not a lot of options where we are) but can only take as many as staff allow. It is already hard to find staff even with the added money, it will be IMPOSSIBLE if we need to lower wages.”—Child care program director

“If the grants are no longer available, the only way that I can continue to be in business and retain my staff is to pass those increases in cost to my clients. And, parents are struggling as it is. If we are going to offer quality programs with quality people at an affordable rate for families, it is ESSENTIAL that our programs continue to receive funding support!”—Child care program director

Check out all of NAEYC’s surveys of the early childhood education field online at www.naeyc.org/pandemic-surveys.
Here’s a brief summary of the survey data from Idaho:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IDAHO</th>
<th>NATIONAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample Size</strong></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>12,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child Care Center</strong></td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family Child Care</strong></td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STABILIZATION GRANTS**

1. Total reporting that their program would have closed without grants: 33.3% vs. 34.0%
2. Total reporting that they believe their last payment will be in 2023: 74.0% vs. 61.0%

**When stabilization grants end:**

1. Total reporting their programs will have to cut wages or be unable to sustain wage/salary increases: 35.3% vs. 23.0%

**STAFFING AND SUPPLY**

**Current Challenges**

1. Total reporting that their program is currently experiencing a staffing shortage: 63.2% vs. 67.0%

**Among respondents in programs with a staffing shortage:**

- Reporting they are serving fewer children: 47.9% vs. 45.4%
- Reporting a longer waitlist: 29.2% vs. 37.4%

**Future Challenges**

1. Total indicating “yes” or “maybe” to considering leaving their job or closing their family child care home: 31.6% vs. 29.2%
   - In the field 5 years or less indicating “yes” or “maybe” to considering leaving their job or closing their family child care home: 38.2% vs. 45.0%
   - In minority-owned businesses considering leaving: 43.3% vs. 43.7%
   - In non-minority-owned businesses considering leaving: 24.4% vs. 25.0%

**Number one thing needed to stay**

- Competitive wages

**ECE WORKFORCE WELL-BEING**

1. Total respondents experiencing financial insecurity in the last year: 21.1% vs. 29.5%
2. Total respondents who received more money from a wage increase or supplement in the last year: 63.2% vs. 49.4%
3. Total indicating that burnout/exhaustion are “greatly” or “to some extent” contributing to problems retaining teachers: 88.2% vs. 78.0%

**Methodology**

This online survey, created and conducted by NAEYC using SurveyMonkey, represents the responses of a non-randomized sample of 13,037 individuals working in early childhood education settings who completed the survey in English or Spanish between October 5-23, 2022. To generate a more representative national sample from the pool of responses, a probability proportional to size (PPS) methodology was used to pull samples by state that are benchmarked to the share of the total early childhood workforce by state. These shares were calculated by the authors from the Bureau of Labor Statistics May 2021 Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics (Codes: 11-9031, 25-2011, 25-2051, 39-9011). The final sample size for the national-level analysis is 12,897. In contrast, for the state-level analysis, the entire sample of responses from each state were used. We are unable to supply detailed analysis in states for which there are very small sample sizes across sub-groups. Respondents were asked to select any setting that applied to them. They could choose from the following list: child care center, family child care home, non-profit, for-profit, school-based, Head Start, faith-based, multi-site. For this brief, only the percentages for child care center and family child care are reported.

The survey links were shared widely through email newsletters, listservs, social media, and via partnerships, and 10 randomly selected respondents were provided with a $100 gift card for participation in a sweepstakes. Given the constantly changing and widely varying nature of the crisis, the broad analysis from this survey is intended to present the experiences of the respondents, as captured in the moment that they take the survey, with extrapolations for the experiences of the field and industry at large. Additional information available at [www.naeyc.org/pandemic-surveys](http://www.naeyc.org/pandemic-surveys).

1. For more state-level information about the receipt of stability grants, please see ACF’s state profiles. According to the profile for Idaho, providers in 82% of Idaho’s counties received funds as of 6/30/22, [https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/occ/Idaho_ARP_Child_Care_Stabilization_Fact_Sheet.pdf](https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/occ/Idaho_ARP_Child_Care_Stabilization_Fact_Sheet.pdf)